

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/004,366	11/01/2001	Roger T. Baird	10012886-1	7303		
7:	590 10/20/2004	EXAMINER				
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			RAYYAN,	RAYYAN, SUSAN F		
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2167			

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/004,3	866	BAIRD, ROGER	т.		
Office Action Summary			r	Art Unit			
		Susan F.		2177	·		
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	idress		
THE - External after aft	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN unsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum st ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are departent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no enunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be tin tutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>08 June 2004</i> .					
′—	•	2b) This action is	non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims	,					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. □ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected. 						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.					
10)🖂	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11/1/01</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	•	-,,	-	, ,		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)[a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	documents have be documents have be of the priority documental Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicati nents have been receive ule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this Nationa	l Stage		
Attachmer	nt(s)	·					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary				
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F		O-152)		
	er No(s)/Mail Date	1 10/30/00)	6) Other:		- ·,		

Application/Control Number: 10/004,366 Page 2

Art Unit: 2167

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 1-26 are pending.
- 2. Information Disclosure Statement filed on November 1, 2001 has been considered (paper# 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 5-6, 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As per claims 5-6,12-13 recites the limitation "the stored indicators" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/004,366

Art Unit: 2167

6. Claims 1, 4-14, 17-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Himmel et al. (US 6,324,566) and Burke (US 6,032,162).

Page 3

As per independent claims 1,14,24-25 Himmel teaches a processor coupled to a local interface at fig. 1, downloading to a computer system a page associated with a bookmark at col.6, lines 42-44,60-63 and fig. 3, Ref. No. 305, determining non-local links within the page at col. 6, lines 64-67 (Himmel teaches a user selecting a booklist matching user desired category, downloading the set of bookmarks associated with the selected bookmark list from a location remote from the user and activating the bookmark set to make available to the user the included URLs.)

Himmel does not explicitly teach displaying, on the computer system, indicators associated with the non-local links however Burke does teach this limitation at col. 7, lines 10-24. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in art at the time of the invention to combine the cited references for the purpose of viewing and selecting a bookmark within the list col.7, 18-19.

As per claims 4,17 same as claim arguments above and Himmel teaches wherein the downloading and the determining are actuated by the selection action at col. 6, lines 60-67.

As per claim 5,18 same as claim arguments above and Himmel teaches: wherein the indicators are stored on the computer system ... at col. 10, lines 6-8.

As per claim 6,19 same as claim arguments above and Himmel teaches:

wherein the selecting action actuates updating of the stored indicators by periodically performing the downloading and the determining col.10, lines 12-14.

Page 4

As per claims 7 same as claim arguments above and Himmel teaches: wherein the selecting action includes hovering over the entry at col. 6, lines 61-63 (Himmel teaches a selection of an bookmark set which would include hovering over the set of choice before selection).

As per claims 8,20 same as claim arguments above and Himmel teaches: wherein the determining includes parsing source code of the page at col. 4, lines 65-67 and col. 10, lines 15-20, 31-39. (Himmel teaches downloading a bookmark set (sets of URLs) and making these bookmarks available to the user. The example describes providing a user access to the bookmark set on cancer and access to the bookmarks contained within the set. The bookmarks are linked to the web pages containing articles on the topic of cancer. These bookmarks have text (bookmark indicators) describing the each article.)

As per claims 9, 21 same as claim arguments above and Himmel teaches: wherein the parsing includes examining the source code for anchor tags at col. 4, lines 65-67 and col. 10, lines 15-20, 31-39. (Himmel teaches downloading a bookmark set and making these bookmarks available to the user. The example describes providing a user access to the bookmark set on cancer and access to the bookmarks contained within the set. The bookmarks are linked to the web pages containing articles on the

Art Unit: 2167

topic of cancer. These bookmarks have text (bookmark indicators) describing the each article.)

As per claims 10, 22 same as claim arguments above and Himmel teaches: wherein the parsing includes examining the tags to determine whether links associated with the anchor tags are local links at col. 4, lines 65-67 and col. 10, lines 15-20, 31-39. (Himmel teaches downloading a bookmark set and making these bookmarks available to the user.

As per claims 11,23 same as claim arguments above and Himmel teaches: wherein the determining includes extracting text from the anchor tags for the bookmark indicators at col. 4, lines 65-67 and col. 10, lines 15-20, 31-39. (Himmel teaches downloading a bookmark set and making these bookmarks available to the user. The example describes providing a user access to the bookmark set on cancer and access to the bookmarks contained within the set. The bookmarks are linked to the web pages containing articles on the topic of cancer. These bookmarks would have text (bookmark indicators) describing the each article.)

As per claims 12 same as claim arguments above and Himmel teaches: wherein the indicators are stored on the computer system, and the displaying includes displaying the indicators at col. 10, lines 6-8.

As per claim 13 same as claim arguments above and Himmel teaches: wherein the indicators are updated by periodically performing the downloading and the determining at col. 6, lines 10, lines 12-14.

7. Claims 2-3,15-16,26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Himmel et al. (US 6,324,566) and Burke (US 6,032,162) in view of Sanford et al (US 6,256,028).

As per claims 2, 15 same as claim arguments above and Burke does not explicitly teach wherein the displaying includes displaying the indicators next to an entry on a bookmark list associated with the page however Sanford does teach this limitation at fig. 7. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the cited reference to improve user friendly access to web pages at col. 2, lines 65.

As per claims 3,16 same as claim arguments above and Himmel does not explicitly teach wherein the displaying includes selectively displaying the indicators in response to the selecting action by the user however Burke does teach this limitation at col. 7, lines 10-24, fig. 5. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in art at the time of the invention to combine the cited references for the purpose of viewing and selecting a bookmark within the list col.7, 18-19.

As per claim 26 Himmel teaches downloading to a computer system a page associated with a bookmark at col.6, lines 42-44,60-63 and fig. 3, Ref. No. 305, determining non-local links within the page at col. 6, lines 64-67, parsing source code of the page, wherein the parsing includes examining the source code for anchor tags col. 4, lines 65-67 and col. 10, lines 15-20, 31-39, wherein the parsing includes examining the tags to determine whether links associated with the anchor tags are local links at col. 4, lines 65-67 and col. 10, lines 15-20, 31-39, extracting text from the anchor tags

for indicators associated with the non-local links at col. 6, lines 65-67 (Himmel teaches downloading a bookmark set and making these bookmarks available to the user).

Himmel does not explicitly teach wherein the displaying includes selectively displaying the indicators in response to the selecting action by the user however Burke does teach this limitation at col. 7, lines 10-24, fig. 5. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in art at the time of the invention to combine the cited references for the purpose of viewing and selecting a bookmark within the list col.7, 18-19.

Burke does not explicitly teach wherein the displaying includes displaying the indicators next to an entry on a bookmark list associated with the page however Sanford does teach this limitation at fig. 7. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the cited reference to improve user friendly access to web pages at col. 2, lines 65.

Response to Arguments

- 8. Applicant's arguments filed on June 8, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 9. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., current web page at p. 7, line 17; indicators are made of these links for a user at p.7, line 17; the browser at p. 7, line 18) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Art Unit: 2167

Regarding Applicant's argument Himmel does not teach source code of a page is examined to identify the anchor tags Examiner maintains at col.10, lines 44-49 "cancer" have anchor tags and source code similar to Applicant's.

Regarding Applicant's argument Himmel does not teach examining tags to determine whether the links are local links Examiner maintains Himmel teaches bookmark access url which are local or non local links similar to Applicant's claimed language at col. 6, lines 65-67.

10. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., employed at p.9, line 14) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 2167

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan Rayyan whose telephone number is (703) 305-0311. The examiner can normally be reached M-F: 8am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Breene can be reached on 703-305-9790. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for Official communications, (703) 746-7238 for After Final communications and (703) 746-7240 for Status inquires and draft communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Susan Rayyan

ALFORD KINDRED
PRIMARY EXAMINER

October 12, 2004